G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12-18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
191.
(Madras)

File No. 178

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Management of the Sri Venkataramana Swami temple at Nagarthpet, Bangalore City.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES LATER REFERENCES

(General Serial No. 30.) 375

1892-93.

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Magis Department.

17 8 93

1892-93

Agrt Returns

21

28/3/93

Dated 21st } March 1893

Despatched 22nd }

Received

Enclosures No. 16

General No.

D No. 925

In reply to Mag: Secy's D° 337 dated 14-1-93. SUBJECT.

Reports & petitions from Narasiadetty and others of Nagarathapete Bangalore regarding the Kantaraya-anaswamy's Temple

---

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office)

No. 925.

Encls 1 file

Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District.
Dated 21st March 1893

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore Inuzraji Department.

Sir

Your memo No: 337 dated 14th January last forwarding for enquiry and report petitions of Narainsetty and others of Nagarathpete Bangalore, regarding the Venkatramana Swamys temple in Nagarathpete Bangalore.

In reply to the above, I have the honor to state that the petitioners represent (1) that the Archik failed to perform certain "Ootthaavams" and (2)

That the management of the temple may be ousted from the present incumbent Dodee Venkatappah Setty and conferred on the Setty and "Gajamans" of the pela As regards the 1st point the Amildar after enquiry reports that the Archik stated that he did not accompany the procession of the "Vijayadasame" day as he was suffering from head ache, that Narayanasetty the petitioner did not pay for the expenses of the procession to be conducted on "Kartika Suddia Padya and consequently his worship was not conducted, and lastly that Narayansetty did not ask him (the Archik) about the additional

Procession and that therefore the same was not carried out. The Amildar states that the Pujak has not adduced any evidence to prove his illness on the 1st day and even if he was so it was his duty to depute some one else, and that he may for this default be severely warned or fined 4 four annas.

As regards the management of the temple the Amildar reports that it is now vested in Doddee Venkatappa Setty son of Doddee Venkatramana Setty and that Venkatramana Setty appears from the records to be the Pujakdar.

That there appears to be some ill feeling between

Venkatappa Setty and Narayan
Setty the petitioner The latter
appears to be inclined to leave
the temple Servants under
his control. Dodee Venkatappa
Setty is maintaining all
the temple Servants &c and
therefore they are in his control
as regards temple duties.
The temple receives only a
small allowance of Rs 2-6-9
per mensum, and that it
would not be well to oust
the management from the
present holder in consequence
of the ill feeling existing between
the Setty Yajamans and the
Manager.

The Amildar in con-
clusion adds that if the

procession

procession License now issued in the names of 4 persons be hereafter granted only in the name of the Temple Manager there will be no room for complaints.

Following the old practice I have ordered in the Police Department that processions should be licensed holding the Manager and the Gayaman jointly responsible. a condition which the Police Superintendent has from experience found necessary for the maintenance of peace. I am not therefore inclined to disturb the presence practice regarding licenses. I believe Narainsetty is jealous of another's control and is causing a great deal of trouble

The entire file together

with the depositions ending,
with Bangalore Talook Amildar's
Urzee No: 46 dated 27th Ultimo
are submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt. Servant

Mauncell Mason

for Dy Commissioner

WMC

Ref 6-12-94

239

Regd

ಸಂ 468

ಅಂಚೆಚೀಟಿ

ಇವರಿಗೆ

29 ನೇ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್
ಡಿ-12 1894.

ಅಂಚೆಚೀಟಿ

ವಿಷಯ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ದಿನಾಂಕ ಪತ್ರಗಳ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ

59

7-11-96

1-10-94

Doddi Venkateshwarlu

Bheemavaram

3355

P.G.O

3/10/94

3/10/94

356

1

2

3

1-10-94

Doddi Venkataiah
Manager

7/10/94

247

Regd no
541

Dodda Thukatappa Chetty

ನಂಬರ್.
5016 5503 . ಮೈಸೂರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರ್‌ಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರವರ ಹುಜೂರು ಕಛೇರಿ ಯಿಂದ.

~~ಬೆಟ್ಟೂರು ಅಡಿಷನಲ್ ಹುದ್ದೆ~~

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮೀರಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅಪವರ್ತನಗಳಾಗಿ ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವು ಅವಿಭಾಜ್ಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವುಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ $(n-i-1)$ ಆಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು $(2q-i-n)$ ಗಿಂತ ಕಡಿಮೆಯ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ

ಕಾಲ ಸಮೀಪಿಸಿದಾಗ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯದಂತೆ ಹೆಣ್ಣಿನ ತಂದೆಯವರು ಗಂಡಿನ ಮನೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಮದುವೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ಅವರು ಹೋಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರ ಬದಲಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಮಗ ಹೋಗಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಬಂದ. ಅವರು ಹೋಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರ ಮಗಳನ್ನು ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಲು ಗಂಡಿನ ಕಡೆಯವರು ನಿರಾಕರಿಸಿದರು. ಅವರ ಮಗಳನ್ನು ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡರು. ಆದರೆ

ಆದರೆ ಅವರು ಪಡಂದಲಿ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಡ್ಡಿ ಪಂಕ್ಷಾಳಂ
ಪಡ್ಡಲಪಡ್ಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಪೆಸ್ಸಿಕಾಂಡು ಅವರು ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ
ಪ ಪಂಕ್ಷಾಳಂಡ್ರ ಪಾಲ್ಕ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವೆಂಕಟಯ್ಯಂಗಾರಿ
ವೆಂಕಟಚಲ್ಲಿಂಬು ಪ ಪಕ್ಷಂಲು ಅಂದುಲ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವೆಂಕಟ
ವೆಂಕಟಚಲ್ಲಿಂದು ದಾಖಲು ಪರ್ಚಿ, ಅಂದು ಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು ಪ್ರ
ಕಾರಂ ಪಕ್ಷಿಯ ವೆಂಕಟಯ್ಯ ವೆಂಕಟಚಲ್ಲಿಂದು ದಾಖಲು
ಲಿಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯ ಪರ್ಚಿ ಯಂಗಡಪಕ್ಷಾಳು ಪ್ರಂಗಾಗಿ
ಯಂಗ ಅಮಲಪಡ ಬಾಂಬುಕಳ್ಳ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಪಡ್ಡಿ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳು.
ಅಂದು ವಿಚಾರಣ ಪರ್ಚಿ ಪಡಿಪ್ಪಿಕಳ್ಳಿಯವರ ಪ
ಪಕ್ಷಾಳು. ಅಂದು ವಿಚಾರಣ ಪರ್ಚಿ ಪಡಿಪ್ಪಿಕಳ್ಳಿಯವರ ಪ

Doddi Venkataiyya Chetty

ಚಿಕ್ಕಾಂಡ

Judicial Supreme Court Vizagapatam

ಸನ್ 1894 ಫಿಬ್ರವರಿ 26

ಪ್ರ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಪಡ್ಡಿ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳು
ವ. ನಂಬರುಲ್ಲ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಪಡಿಪ್ಪಿಕಳ್ಳಿಯವರ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳು.

ಪೆಂಡ್ಯಾಲ ಪಂಪಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು ಪಕ್ಷಿಯವರ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ
ಪ್ರಯೋಗಪಡ್ಡಿ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪಂಪಯ್ಯ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಂಪಯ್ಯ
ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ
ಪಂಪಯ್ಯ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಪಕ್ಷಾಳಕ್ಕೆ

ಸಂ ಕೆ ಅ ಇ ಪ್ರ ಸ 10 ಸ ಅ ಪ ಸ ಬ್ಯಾಂ ಅ ಸಾಲಿನ

ಕೊ ಮಂ ಸ. ಇ ಕ ಪ್ರ ಸ ಸಂ ಸಾ ಅ ಸಾ ಅ ಸಾ

ಕಾ ಮಾ ಶಾ. ಇ ಕ ಪ್ರ ಸ ಸಂ ಪ ಬ ಸಂ ಸಾ ಪ

ಇ ಪ ಕೆ ಸಾ ಸಾ ಕೆ ಸಾ 3 ಸ ಅ ಪ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಪ ಸಾ ಸ ಪ ಪ

ಸಾ ಪ್ರ ಕೆ ಸಾ ಸಾ ಸಾ ಸ ಪ ಕೆ ಇ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸ ಸ ಸ ಸ

ಪ ಪ್ರ ಸಂ ಪ ಸ ಪ್ರ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಂ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ

ಇ ಸ ಸ ಪ ಸಾ ಸಾ ಸಂ ಸ ಸ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಾ

ಸಾ ಸ ಸ ಪ್ರ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಂ ಸ ಸ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಾ

ಸಾ ಸ ಸ ಪ್ರ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಂ ಸ ಸ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಾ

ಸಾ ಸ ಸ ಪ್ರ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಂ ಸ ಸ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಾ

ಸಾ ಸ ಸ ಪ್ರ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಂ ಸ ಸ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಾ

ಸಾ ಸ ಸ ಪ್ರ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಂ ಸ ಸ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸ ಸ್ಥಾ ಸಾ ಸಾ

ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಮಂದಿ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ,
ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಜನ ಪ್ರೌಢಶಾಲೆಯ ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಇದ್ದಾರೆ,
ಕೆಲವರಿಗೆ ಮೊದಲು ಕಲಿಸಿದ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ಸಹ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ
ಅವರು ಬಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವರು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಕಾರಣ
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬರಲು ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ, ಬಂದಿರುವ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೂ
ಆದರದ ಸ್ವಾಗತ. ಅಂದಿನ ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಆ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಎತ್ತಿನ ಬಂಡಿಯೇ ಗತಿ ಆಗಿತ್ತು
ಬಂದವರಿಗೆ ಹೊಟ್ಟೆಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಲ್ಲ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ
ನಿಮಗೆಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಅನ್ನ ಸಾರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದು
ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಗುವ ಅಕ್ಕಿ ಬೇಳೆ ಸಾಂಬಾರು ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳು
ಅಷ್ಟೆ ಸಿಂಧನೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಗುವ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.
ಇದನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ ಸಿಂಧನೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳು ಸಿಗುತ್ತವೆ
ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಸ್ವಾಗತ

Sworn before me this day

Advocate

ಸ್ಥಳ :

ದಿನಾಂಕ :

ಸಹಿ

ಹೆಸರು

ಹುದ್ದೆ

Yesterday afternoon I received a letter from Mr. Hall
Adjutant General, Washington, D.C.
Feb 10 1864

To

The Dy Commr

Bangalore &c-

Dated 24th October
1902

No 807 Ex 2 & 1 file V.R.P.

His Letter no 925 dated
21st March 1893 and
the subsequent Rubkari
no 468 dated 4th Dec 1894
re the management of the
Sri Venkataramana-
-swami Temple at-
Nagarthapet in the
Bangalore City.

With reference to the
above has the honor to
forward herewith statement
of

Given by the Archak

Ramachar and Doddi

Venkatappa Chetty before

the late Mysore Superintendent-

on the 21st May 1898

and 5th January 1901

respectively, and to

request information on

the following points :—

i What is the income

of this Temple — both

from Cash Tasdik and

from Land Inams,

if any ?

ii What is the annual fixed

Expenditure for Establishment-

Daily Tasdiks and

periodical Utsavams &c

iii Some houses and
in the Bangalore City
Shops appear to have

have been endowed to this temple - Do they stand in the name of the Temple? What is the trust- levied on each of them ~~xxxxx~~ who has collects it- and how is it- spent ii From the statement of Doddi Venkatappa Setty it is observed that he resigned the managership of the Temple about- about 6 or 7 years ago and that since then managership Setti has been the manager and that Licenses are issued in his name- Is it so? Does managership Setti continue as manager? Has he been

attending to his duties
properly and keeping
the doors of the Temple towards
without giving reasons to
any Board of Complaint?

2 In furnishing
information on the points
detailed above, the Dy
Comm^r is also requested
to state if any orders
of Government are now
required on ~~the~~ this
reference of 1893 cited
above and if so on
what points.

Ques are herewith
returned for reference
and resubmission

24/10/02

Dy Secy

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 1848 Encl.

The accompanying Petition No. 161

dated 25th Oct 1902 received at the

~~Dewan's~~ Office from certain residents of Bangalore City praying that Doddi Venkatappa Setti may be appointed Dharmadarsee to supervise the affairs of the Sri Venkataramana Swami temple at Nagarthapet, Bangalore City -

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District in continuation of this office docket No. 8079 dy 24th October 1902 with a request that the report therein called for may be ~~expedited~~ submitted without further delay

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary

BANGALORE
25-11-1904

no: 1849
25/11/04.

23/11/04

Encl: to letter

MGCPB—500—31-8-01

Muz. 4]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 2147-53 of 07

16/10

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition No. 1615, dated 30th Oct. 1907 received at this Office from Narayana Setty of Nagarathpet, Bangalore city, praying that he may be relieved of his duties as Manager of the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at the place as he has to leave Bangalore on his private business

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, ~~to the Deputy Commissioner of the District for submission of the entire records connected with the case together with a detailed report thereon.~~ to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, for disposal or report if necessary

By Order,

Sd/- [illegible] 18/10/07

Secretary,
Muzrai Department

BANGALORE,
28/10. 1907.

No. 20276 by
Cust. Deputy [illegible]

17.1.08.

Inam F 12-15

Muz 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 21

The accompanying Petition No 2002
dated 22nd Nov 1907 received at this
Office from B Venkataramanaiah
of Nagarthpet, Bangalore City
re the appointment of Dharmadarsi
to the Sri Venkataramana Swamy
temple at the said place

is forwarded, together with its one
enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Distt in Continuation of
the marginally noted Communication
with a request that the report thereon
called for may be submitted to
this Office without further delay

OM 807 Dy 24th Oct 1902
1848 Dy 25th Nov 1904
2045 Dy 28th Oct 1907

By Order,

Dft

28/1/08

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
21.1.1908

No. 3311

28/1/08

Sent to the Peshi

GP —1500—20 10 07